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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the robust stability of uncertain quasipolynomials, whose coef£cients may vary in a certain prescribed range. We consider specifcally the co-called interval and diamond quasipolynomials, and our goal is to develop vertexand edge type-conditions for these quasipolynomial families to be robustly stable independent of delay. Moreover, we also present a number of extensions to more general uncertain quasipolynomials, including both frequency-sweeping and vertex/edge type results.
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## I. Introduction

The effect of time delay on stability is a subject of recurring interest in the study of dynamical systems. This subject received considerable research attention in the last decade or so, and has undergone a notable development both conceptually and computationally; much of the progress during this period is documented in the recent monographs [15], [17], [18], [10]. Two particular stability notions, delay-dependent and delayindependent stability, respectively, have been the focus of these studies, and both time and frequency domain stability tests have been developed. Here by delay-independent stability of a system we mean that the system is stable for all nonnegative values of delay, and otherwise the system's stability is delaydependent.

The aim of this paper is to study the delay-independent stability of time-delay systems when certain system parameters are only known to be within a prescribed range. Robust stability problems of this kind, as expected, are far more dif£cult. Indeed, while for a system with $£ x e d$ parameters the problem has received a defnitive answer, few results are available when the system is uncertain. In fact, based on the known facts in robust stability analysis [8] and the results for systems with incommensurate delays, it is not dif£cult to conclude that this problem will be equivalent to one of computing structured singular values [4] and can be shown to be NP-hard in general.
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In this paper we shall focus on polytopic uncertain quasipolynomials, and especially on its sub-families of interval and diamond quasipolynomials. It is worth noting that the robust stability of quasipolynomials has been a well-studied topic (see, e.g., [9], [14], [12], [10] and the references therein), though the results seem less well-developed. In particular, the stability of interval quasipolynomials has been under investigation for some time [2], [19]. In the earlier work [7], the authors showed that for uncertain quasipolynomials in these classes, readily computable stability conditions are available in the form of frequency-sweeping tests. In the present paper, we derive "vertex type" stability tests which seek to ascertain the stability of an entire family of quasipolynomials by checking certain special members in that family. Our results continue the spirit of the well-known Kharitonov approach [1], [3] and are of conceptual interest. We show that as in robust stability analysis for interval and diamond polynomials, the stability of the interval and diamond quasipolynomial families can be identifed with the stability of certain special vertex and edge members in the quasipolynomial families, where such vertex and edge quasipolynomials correspond to the vertex and edge polynomials of interval and diamond polynomials, respectively. The results thus complement in a natural manner the frequency-sweeping conditions obtained in [7].

## II. Preliminaries

We consider the class of quasipolynomials given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(s ; e^{-\tau_{1} s}, \cdots, e^{-\tau_{m} s}\right)=a_{0}(s)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}(s) e^{-\tau_{k} s} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{k} \geq 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}(s)=s^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{0 i} s^{i} \\
& a_{k}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{k i} s^{i}, \quad k=1, \cdots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

This quasipolynomial corresponds to the characteristic function of delay systems described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(t)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k i} y^{(i)}\left(t-\tau_{k}\right)=0, \quad \tau_{k} \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or more generally, those given in the state-space description

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=A_{0} x(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{k} x\left(t-T_{k}\right), \quad T_{k} \geq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the stability properties of the quasipolynomial (1), and accordingly, the stability of the time-delay systems (2) and (3). The stability notion is stated formally as follows.
Defnition 2.1 The quasipolynomial (1) is said to be stable if

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(s ; e^{-\tau_{1} s}, \cdots, e^{-\tau_{m} s}\right) \neq 0, \quad \forall s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+}:=\{s: \Re(s) \geq 0\}$ denotes the closed right half plane. It is said to be stable independent of delay if the condition (4) holds for all $\tau_{k} \geq 0$.

We shall consider quasipolynomials with incommensurate, independent delays, by which we mean that in (1) the delay parameters $\tau_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m$ are independent of each other. In this case, a necessary and suffcient stability condition is available from, e.g., [11], [4], [10].

Lemma 2.1 Let $\tau_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. Then quasipolynomial (1) is stable independent of delay iff (i) $a_{0}(s)$ is stable;
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k 0} \neq 0$; and
(iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|a_{k}(j \omega)\right|}{\left|a_{0}(j \omega)\right|}<1, \quad \forall \omega>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that the coef£cients of the quasipolynomial (1) vary in a prescribed set. More specifcally, consider the uncertain quasipolynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(s ; e^{-\tau_{1} s}, \cdots, e^{-\tau_{m} s} ; \alpha\right)=a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right) e^{-\tau_{k} s} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=0, \cdots, m$ represent the uncertain parameters. This uncertain quasipolynomial is said to be robustly stable independent of delay in the following sense.
Defnition 2.2 The quasipolynomial family (6) is said to be robustly stable if for all $\alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k}, k=0, \cdots, m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(s ; e^{-\tau_{1} s}, \cdots, e^{-\tau_{m} s} ; \alpha\right) \neq 0, \quad \forall s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is robustly stable independent of delay if (7) holds $\forall \tau_{k} \geq 0$.

We shall assume that each uncertain vector $\alpha_{k}$ varies independently. Furthermore, we assume that each uncertain polynomial $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)=s^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{0 i} s^{i} \\
& a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{k i} s^{i}, \quad k=1, \cdots, m \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where each $\alpha_{k i}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$ is assumed to lie in a given interval $\left[\underline{\alpha}_{k i}, \bar{\alpha}_{k i}\right.$ ], and the vector $\alpha_{k}$ belongs to a weighted $\ell_{p}$ defned in the following manner. Defne

$$
\alpha_{k i}^{*}:=\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{k i}+\underline{\alpha}_{k i}}{2}, \gamma_{k i}:=\frac{\bar{\alpha}_{k i}-\underline{\alpha}_{k i}}{2}, \Gamma_{k}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\gamma_{k 1}, \cdots, \gamma_{k n}\right)
$$

Then for any $p \in[1, \infty]$, the coeffcient vector $\alpha_{k}$ is characterized by the set

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(p)}:=\left\{\alpha_{k}: \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{k}^{*}+\Gamma_{k} \delta_{k},\left\|\delta_{k}\right\|_{p} \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ denotes the $\ell_{p}$-Hölder norm, that is,

$$
\left\|\delta_{k}\right\|_{p}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\delta_{k i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, & 1 \leq p \leq \infty \\
\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|\delta_{k i}\right|, & p=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

As such, for $p=\infty, 1$, the uncertain polynomial $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ defnes the families of interval and diamond polynomials, respectively. We shall call the uncertain quasipolynomial (6) the interval and diamond quasipolynomials correspondingly.

An important observation is that for the uncertain quasipolynomial (6) to be robustly stable, it is both necessary and suffcient that (i) the polynomial $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is robustly stable,
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$, and (iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \max _{\alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(p)}}\left|a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}\right)\right|}{\min _{\alpha_{0} \in \mathcal{Q}_{0}^{(p)}}\left|a_{0}(j \omega)\right|}<1, \quad \forall \omega>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear from Lemma 2.1. These conditions form the basis for the frequency-sweeping conditions in [7], and will likewise play an important role in our present development.

Assume, without loss of generality, that $n$ is an even integer; an analogous analysis applies when $n$ is odd. Let $q \in[1, \infty]$ satisfy the relation $(1 / p)+(1 / q)=1$. For each $\omega \in[0, \infty)$, defne

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k, q}(\omega): & =\left(\gamma_{k 0}^{q}+\left(\gamma_{k 2} \omega^{2}\right)^{q}+\left(\gamma_{k 4} \omega^{4}\right)^{q}+\cdots\right)^{1 / q} \\
Y_{k, q}(\omega): & =\left(\gamma_{k 1}^{q}+\left(\gamma_{k 3} \omega^{2}\right)^{q}+\left(\gamma_{k 5} \omega^{4}\right)^{q}+\cdots\right)^{1 / q} \\
R_{k}(\omega): & =\alpha_{k 0}^{*}-\alpha_{k 2}^{*} \omega^{2}-\alpha_{k 4}^{*} \omega^{4}+\cdots \\
I_{k}(\omega): & =\alpha_{k 1}^{*}-\alpha_{k 3}^{*} \omega^{2}+\alpha_{k 5}^{*} \omega^{4}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $q=\infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{k, \infty}(\omega) & =\max \left\{\gamma_{k 0}, \gamma_{k 2} \omega^{2}, \gamma_{k 4} \omega^{4}, \cdots\right\} \\
Y_{k, \infty}(\omega) & =\max \left\{\gamma_{k 1}, \gamma_{k 3} \omega^{2}, \gamma_{k 5} \omega^{4}, \cdots\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It also follows that $a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)=R_{k}(\omega)+j \omega I_{k}(\omega)$.

## III. Vertex and Edge Results

In this section we derive vertex- and edge-type conditions which seek to test the robust stability of a quasipolynomial family in terms of its vertex and edge quasipolynomials. While compared to the frequency-sweeping conditions obtained in [7], these results may not be favorable computationally, they remain to be of interest and constitute generalizations to the well-known Kharitonov type robust stability conditions.

## A. Interval Quasipolynomials

We consider £rst the interval quasipolynomials. This class corresponds to the case that all the polynomials $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ are each interval polynomials, that is, $\alpha_{k} \in\left[\underline{\alpha}_{k i}, \bar{\alpha}_{k i}\right]$, or alternatively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(\infty)}:=\left\{\alpha_{k}: \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{k}^{*}+\Gamma_{k} \delta_{k},\left\|\delta_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k=0,1, \cdots, m$, defne the four Kharitonov vertex polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{k, 1}(s): & =\underline{\alpha}_{k 0}+\underline{\alpha}_{k 1} s+\bar{\alpha}_{k 2} s^{2}+\bar{\alpha}_{k 3} s^{3}+\cdots \\
K_{k, 2}(s): & =\bar{\alpha}_{k 0}+\bar{\alpha}_{k 1} s+\underline{\alpha}_{k 2} s^{2}+\underline{\alpha}_{k 3} s^{3}+\cdots \\
K_{k, 3}(s): & =\bar{\alpha}_{k 0}+\underline{\alpha}_{k 1} s+\underline{\alpha}_{k 2} s^{2}+\bar{\alpha}_{k 3} s^{3}+\cdots \\
K_{k, 4}(s): & =\underline{\alpha}_{k 0}+\bar{\alpha}_{k 1} s+\bar{\alpha}_{k 2} s^{2}+\underline{\alpha}_{k 3} s^{3}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and four edge polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{k, 1}(s, \lambda): & =\lambda K_{k, 1}(s)+(1-\lambda) K_{k, 2}(s) \\
K_{k, 2}(s, \lambda): & =\lambda K_{k, 2}(s)+(1-\lambda) K_{k, 3}(s) \\
K_{k, 3}(s, \lambda): & =\lambda K_{k, 3}(s)+(1-\lambda) K_{k, 4}(s) \\
K_{k, 4}(s, \lambda): & =\lambda K_{k, 4}(s)+(1-\lambda) K_{k, 1}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that the interval polynomial $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ will be stable whenever $\alpha_{k n} \neq 0$ and the four vertex polynomials are stable. In the present setting, of relevance is the stability of the interval polynomial $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$.

We shall £rst state a suffcient stability condition in terms of the vertex polynomials.
Theorem 3.1 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1$, $\cdots, m$ be independent delays. Then the interval quasipolynomial (6), with $\alpha_{k} \in$ $\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(\infty)}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$, is robustly stable independent of delay if
(i) The polynomials $K_{0, i_{0}}(s)$ are stable;
(ii) $\underline{\alpha}_{00}>\sum_{k=1}^{m} \max \left\{\left|\underline{\alpha}_{k 0}\right|,\left|\bar{\alpha}_{k 0}\right|\right\}$;
(iii) The $4^{m+1}$ quasipolynomials

$$
K_{0, i_{0}}(s)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} K_{k, i_{k}}(s) e^{-\tau_{k} s}
$$

are stable independent of delay, where $i_{k} \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, $k=0,1, \cdots, m$.

It is worth noting that vertex-type conditions similar to Theorem 3.1 have been previously stated in [2] and [19], though each appears to contain some paws. We remark that the key restriction in Theorem 3.1 is imposed by the condition (ii), which rendered the theorem a suffcient but not necessary condition. For interval quasipolynomials that do satisfy the condition (ii), however, the result becomes both necessary and suffcient for robust stability. More generally, if the condition is not satisfed, we have the following necessary and suffcient condition which requires checking also edge quasipolynomials.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. Then the interval quasipolynomial (6), with $\alpha_{k} \in$ $\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(\infty)}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$, is robustly stable independent
of delay if
(i) The polynomials $K_{0, i_{0}}(s)$ are stable;
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$;
(iii) The $4^{m+1}$ one-parameter quasipolynomials

$$
K_{0, i_{0}}(s, \lambda)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} K_{k, i_{k}}(s) e^{-\tau_{k} s}
$$

are stable independent of delay, where $\lambda \in[0,1]$, and $i_{k} \in$ $\{1,2,3,4\}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$.
Note that whenever the condition $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$ coincides with

$$
\underline{\alpha}_{00}>\sum_{k=1}^{m} \max \left\{\left|\underline{\alpha}_{k 0}\right|,\left|\bar{\alpha}_{k 0}\right|\right\}
$$

for example, when $\bar{\alpha}_{k 0} \leq 0$ for $k=1, \cdots, m$, then Theorem 3.2 can be strengthened to Theorem 3.1, that is, to a necessary and suffcient vertex test. Note also that in view of Lemma 2.1, the theorem can also be stated as follows.
Corollary 3.1 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. Then the interval quasipolynomial (6), with $\alpha_{k} \in$ $\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(\infty)}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$, is robustly stable independent of delay iff
(i) The polynomials $K_{0, i_{0}}(s), i_{0} \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, are stable; (ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$; and
(iii) For $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and for all $i_{k} \in\{1,2,3,4\}, k=$ $0,1, \cdots, m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|K_{k, i_{k}}(j \omega)\right|}{\left|K_{0, i_{0}}(j \omega, \lambda)\right|}<1, \quad \forall \omega>0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. Diamond Quasipolynomials

For the diamond quasipolynomial family, each polynomial $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ defnes a diamond polynomial, whose coeffcients vary in the parameter set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(1)}:=\left\{\alpha_{k}: \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{k}^{*}+\Gamma_{k} \delta_{k},\left\|\delta_{k}\right\|_{1} \leq 1\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we shall consider only uniformly weighted diamond polynomials. This means that for each $k=$ $0,1, \cdots, m, \gamma_{k 1}=\cdots=\gamma_{k n}=\gamma_{k}$. At each $\omega>0$, denote the value set of $a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}\right)$ by $V_{k}^{D}(\omega)$, i.e.,

$$
V_{k}^{D}(\omega)=\left\{a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}\right): \alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(1)}\right\}
$$

It is known that $V_{k}^{D}(\omega)$ forms a frequency-dependent diamond with its center at $a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)$. For $\omega \in(0,1]$, the four vertices of the diamond are the polynomials $e_{k, 1}(s), e_{k, 2}(s), e_{k, 3}(s)$, and $e_{k, 4}(s)$, while for $\omega \in(1, \infty)$, the vertices are $e_{k, 5}(s)$, $e_{k, 6}(s), e_{k, 7}(s)$, and $e_{k, 8}(s)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{k, 1}(s)=a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\gamma_{k}, e_{k, 2}(s) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\gamma_{k} \\
e_{k, 3}(s)=a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\gamma_{k} s, e_{k, 4}(s) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\gamma_{k} s, \\
e_{k, 5}(s)=a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\gamma_{k} s^{n-2}, e_{k, 6}(s) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\gamma_{k} s^{n-2}, \\
e_{k, 7}(s)=a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\gamma_{k} s^{n-1}, e_{k, 8}(s) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\gamma_{k} s^{n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These are the extremes of the eight edge polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{k, 1}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\lambda \gamma_{k}-(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s, \\
e_{k, 2}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\lambda \gamma_{k}-(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s, \\
e_{k, 3}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\lambda \gamma_{k}+(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s, \\
e_{k, 4}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\lambda \gamma_{k}+(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s, \\
e_{k, 5}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\lambda \gamma_{k} s^{n-1}-(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s^{n-2}, \\
e_{k, 6}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\lambda \gamma_{k} s^{n-1}-(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s^{n-2}, \\
e_{k, 7}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)+\lambda \gamma_{k} s^{n-1}+(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s^{n-2}, \\
e_{k, 8}(s, \lambda) & =a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}^{*}\right)-\lambda \gamma_{k} s^{n-1}+(1-\lambda) \gamma_{k} s^{n-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well-known that the diamond polynomial $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is robustly stable if and only if its eight vertex polynomials are stable. We have the following result.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. Assume that for each $k=0,1, \cdots, m, \gamma_{k 1}=$ $\cdots=\gamma_{k n}=\gamma_{k}$. Then the diamond quasipolynomial (6), with $\alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(1)}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$, is robustly stable independent of delay iff
(i) The polynomials $e_{0, i_{0}}(s), i_{0} \in\{1, \cdots, 8\}$, are stable;
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$;
(iii) For $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and for all $i_{k} \in\{1, \cdots, 8\}, k=$ $0,1, \cdots, m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|e_{k, i_{k}}(j \omega)\right|}{\left|e_{0, i_{0}}(j \omega, \lambda)\right|}<1, \quad \forall \omega>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, we may also state Theorem 3.3 alternatively as follows.

Corollary 3.2 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. Assume that for each $k=0,1, \cdots, m, \gamma_{k 1}=$ $\cdots=\gamma_{k n}=\gamma_{k}$. Then the diamond quasipolynomial (6), with $\alpha_{k} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{(1)}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$, is robustly stable independent of delay iff
(i) The polynomials $e_{0, i_{0}}(s), i_{0} \in\{1, \cdots, 8\}$, are stable;
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$;
(iii) The $8^{m+1}$ one-parameter quasipolynomials

$$
e_{0, i_{0}}(s, \lambda)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{k, i_{k}}(s) e^{-\tau_{k} s}
$$

are stable independent of delay for $\lambda \in[0,1]$, where $i_{k} \in$ $\{1, \cdots, 8\}, k=0,1, \cdots, m$.

As in the case of interval diamond polynomials, Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.2 follow from the recognition that the maximum of $\mid a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}\right)$ is achieved on one of the vertices $e_{k, i_{k}}(j \omega)$, while the minimum of $\mid a_{0}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{0}\right)$ occurs on one of the edges $e_{0, i_{0}}(j \omega, \lambda)$. These results can be extended to more general cases where the diamond polynomials need not be uniformly weighted. Indeed, they can be extended to quasipolynomials with a polytopic uncertainty description. This is pursued in the next section.

## IV. Extensions

Our purpose in this section is to provide a number of extensions to more general uncertain quasipolynomials than those in the interval and diamond families. We consider more general uncertainty descriptions and seek both frequencysweeping and vertex type results. A generalization is also made to a special class of multivariate polynomials.

## A. Uncertain Coeffcients in $\ell_{p}$ Balls

Our frst generalization is sought after for a case where uncertain coef£cients are characterized by general $\ell_{p}$ norms. Consider the uncertain quasipolynomial (6) with the coeffcients described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{k}:=\left\{\alpha_{k}: \alpha_{k}=\alpha_{k}^{*}+\Gamma_{k} \delta_{k},\left\|\delta_{k}\right\| \leq 1\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta_{k}\right\|:=\max \left\{\left\|\delta_{k}^{e}\right\|_{p_{1}},\left\|\delta_{k}^{o}\right\|_{p_{2}}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we assume that the real and imaginary parts of the coeffcient polynomials vary independently of each other. Note that uncertain polynomials with independent real and imaginary parts are studied in [20]. Note also that in this case a similar frequency-sweeping condition for the robust stability of $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ can be obtained in much the same spirit as in [6].

Lemma 4.1 Suppose that $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}^{*}\right)$ is stable. Then, the uncertain polynomial $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ with $\mathcal{Q}_{0}$ given by (14-15) is robustly stable if and only if $\underline{\alpha}_{00}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\frac{X_{0, q_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|R_{0}(\omega)\right|}, \frac{Y_{0, q_{2}}(\omega)}{\left|I_{0}(\omega)\right|}\right\}<1, \quad \forall \omega>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result gives a frequency-sweeping condition similar to those developed in [7].

Theorem 4.1 Let $\tau_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. De£ne
$\bar{\rho}_{k}(\omega):=\sqrt{\left(\left|R_{k}(\omega)\right|+X_{k, q_{1}}(\omega)\right)^{2}+\omega^{2}\left(\left|I_{k}(\omega)\right|+Y_{k, q_{2}}(\omega)\right)^{2}}$,
$\underline{\rho}_{0}(\omega):=\sqrt{M_{R}^{2}(\omega)+\omega^{2} M_{I}^{2}(\omega)}$,
where
$M_{R}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\left|R_{0}(\omega)\right|-X_{0, q_{1}}(\omega) & \text { if }\left|R_{0}(\omega)\right|>X_{0, q_{1}}(\omega) \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|R_{0}(\omega)\right| \leq X_{0, q_{1}}(\omega)\end{cases}$
$M_{I}(\omega):= \begin{cases}\left|I_{0}(\omega)\right|-Y_{0, q_{2}}(\omega) & \text { if }\left|I_{0}(\omega)\right|>Y_{0, q_{2}}(\omega) \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|I_{0}(\omega)\right| \leq Y_{0, q_{2}}(\omega)\end{cases}$
Then the uncertain quasipolynomial (6), with $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ given by (8) and (14-15), is robustly stable independent of delay iff
(i) The uncertain polynomial $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is stable;
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \underline{\alpha}_{k 0}>0$;
(iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{\rho}_{k}(\omega)}{\underline{\rho}_{0}(\omega)}<1, \quad \forall \omega>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. Polytopic Uncertainty

Extensions may also be found to quasipolynomials with polytopic uncertainties. For this purpose, let the family of polynomials $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ be the convex hull

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{k}:=\operatorname{conv}\left\{p_{k 1}(s), \cdots, p_{k, l_{k}}(s)\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ can be expressed as the convex combination of the generating polynomials $p_{k j}(s)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{l_{k}} \lambda_{j} p_{k j}(s) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l_{k}} \lambda_{j}=1, \quad \lambda_{j} \geq 0, \quad j=1, \cdots, l_{k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{0 j}(s)=s^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{0 i}^{(j)} s^{i}  \tag{20}\\
& p_{k j}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_{k i}^{(j)} s^{i}, k=1,2, \cdots, m . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that both the interval and diamond polynomials fall as special cases of this polytopic class. Note also that the stability of $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ can be checked using the so-called edge theorem and other tools (see, e.g., [1], [3]). We provide below both frequency-sweeping and edge type results for the corresponding quasipolynomials.
Theorem 4.2 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. De£ne

$$
\rho_{i j}(\omega):=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\left|\Im\left\{p_{0 i}(-j \omega) p_{0 j}(j \omega)\right\}\right|}{\left|p_{0 i}(j \omega)-p_{j} j(j \omega)\right|} \\
\text { if } \Re\left\{p_{0 i}(-j \omega) p_{0 j}(j \omega)\right\}< \\
\min \left\{\left|p_{0 i}(j \omega)\right|^{2},\left|p_{0 j}(j \omega)\right|^{2}\right\}, \\
\min \left\{\left|p_{0 i}(j \omega)\right|,\left|p_{0 j}(j \omega)\right|\right\} \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the uncertain quasipolynomial (6), with $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ given by (19-21), is robustly stable independent of delay iff
(i) The polytopic polynomial $a_{0}\left(s, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is robustly stable;
$\begin{aligned} & \text { (ii) } \sum_{k=0}^{m} \min _{1 \leq j \leq l_{k}} p_{k 0}^{(j)}>0 ; \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{m} \max _{1 \leq j \leq l_{k}}\left|p_{k j}(j \omega)\right| \\ & \min _{1 \leq i<j \leq l_{0}} \rho_{i j}(\omega)\end{aligned} 1, \quad \forall \omega>0$.
Note that the numerator in (22) can be easily computed. The computation of the denominator can be more demanding, which requires $l_{0}\left(l_{0}-1\right) / 2$ computations of $\rho_{i j}(\omega)$.

We may also state this result alternatively in terms of the vertices of $a_{k}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{k}\right)$ and the edges of $a_{0}\left(j \omega, \alpha_{0}\right)$.
Corollary 4.1 Let $\tau_{k} \geq 0, k=1, \cdots, m$ be independent delays. Then the uncertain quasipolynomial (6), with $a_{k}\left(s, \alpha_{k}\right)$ given by (19-21), is robustly stable independent of delay iff (i) The edge polynomials $\lambda p_{0 i}(s)+(1-\lambda) p_{0 j}(s)$ are stable for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l_{0}$;
(ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \min _{1 \leq j \leq l_{k}} p_{k 0}^{(j)}>0 ;$
(iii) The quasipolynomials

$$
\lambda p_{0 i}(s)+(1-\lambda) p_{0 j}(s)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k, j_{k}}(s) e^{-\tau_{k} s}
$$

are stable for all $\lambda \in[0,1], 1 \leq i<j \leq l_{0}$, and $1 \leq j_{k} \leq l_{k}$.

## C. Multivariate Polynomials

It is straightforward to extend the preceding results to a special class of multivariate polynomials which are also known as disc polynomials [3]. This class of multivariate polynomials are described as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(s ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right)=a_{0}(s)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}(s) z_{k} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multivariate polynomial $p\left(s ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right)$ is said to be stable if
$p\left(s ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right) \neq 0, \forall s \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+}, z_{k} \in \mathbb{D}^{c}, k=1, \cdots, m$.
A necessary and suffcient condition for the stability of $p\left(s ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right)$ is available from, e.g., [3], [16], which can also be seen rather trivially from [4].
Lemma 4.2 The multivariate polynomial (23) is stable if and only if
(i) $a_{0}(s)$ is stable $e_{m}$
(ii)
$\frac{\sum_{k=1}\left|a_{k}(j \omega)\right|}{\left|a_{0}(j \omega)\right|}<1, \quad \forall \omega \geq 0$.
Clearly, the sole difference between Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 2.1 lies at the frequency $\omega=0$. It is thus unsurprising that the preceding results can all be extended readily to this class of multivariate polynomials with uncertain coeffcients.

## V. Concluding Remarks

In this paper we have studied the robust stability of uncertain quasipolynomials, specifcally those in the families of interval and diamond quasipolynomials. We addressed speci£cally the notion of robust stability independent of delay. For each of these quasipolynomial families, we showed that simple edge-type test can be used to checked the robust stability, which is both necessary and suffcient. We also provided a vertex-type stability condition for interval quasipolynomials. This condition, while only a suffcient condition in general, will become necessary and suffcient under some rather mild restriction. Our results extend the well-known Kharitonov-type analysis to quasipolynomials, and complement the frequencysweeping conditions developed elsewhere.
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