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#### Abstract

For a special class of systems, it is shown that the existence of a Common Quadratic Lyapunov Function (CQLF) is necessary and sufficient for the stability of an associated switched system under arbitrary switching. Furthermore, it is shown that the existence of a CQLF for $N(N>2)$ subsystems is equivalent to the existence of a CQLF for every pair of subsystems. An algorithm is proposed to compute a CQLF for the subsystems, when it exists, using the left and right eigenvectors of a critical matrix obtained from a matrix pencil.
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## I. Problem Statement

Consider the switched system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{s}: \dot{x}(t)=A(t) x(t), A(t) \in \mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{N}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x(t) \in \Re^{2}$ is the state, and $A_{i} \in \Re^{2 \times 2}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, N$ are the system matrices for the subsystems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{i}: \dot{x}(t)=A_{i} x(t), i=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, the negative of each matrix $A_{i}$ (i.e., $-A_{i}$ ) is assumed be an $M$-matrix ${ }^{1}$. Therefore, each matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ in the set $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies $a_{i i}<0, \quad i=1,2$ and $a_{i j} \geq 0$, and is Hurwitz. The objective of this paper is to derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the switched system (1) under arbitrary switching between the system matrices $A_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$.

Clearly, if a common quadratic Lyapunov function (CQLF) exists for the subsystems $\Sigma_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, then the switched system (1) is stable under arbitrary switching. The converse of this statement is not true in general [3], [4]. However, in this paper, we prove that the converse is indeed true for a specific class of systems.

The following notation will be used in sequel. Let $T=$ $\left[t_{i j}\right] \in \Re^{n \times m}$. T is said to be a non-negative matrix, and denoted as $T \succeq 0$ if $t_{i j} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$. Similarly, for two matrices $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \Re^{n \times m}$, we write $T_{1} \succeq$ $T_{2}$ if $T_{1}-T_{2} \succeq 0$. For $Q \in \Re^{n \times n}, Q>0$ denotes that Q is positive definite.

## II. Main Results

We first consider the two subsystem case. ${ }^{2}$
Proposition 1: For the systems in (1) and (2) with $N=$ 2 , the following statements are equivalent.

[^0](i) The switched system $\Sigma_{s}$ is stable under arbitrary switching.
(ii) The matrix pencil $\alpha A_{1}+(1-\alpha) A_{2}$ is Hurwitz for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
(iii) A CQLF exists for the subsystems $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$.

Remark 1: (i) In general, the existence of a CQLF is not necessary for the stability of a switched system under arbitrary switching [3], [4]. However, for the special class of systems under consideration, Proposition 1 states that stability of the switched system in (1) is equivalent to the existence of a CQLF for the subsystems in (2).
(ii) The stability properties of the switched system in (1) can be determined by checking the stability of the matrix pencil, $\alpha A_{1}+(1-\alpha) A_{2}, \alpha \in[0,1]$, which can further be reduced to checking whether $A_{1}$ is Hurwitz and whether the matrix product $A_{1}^{-1} A_{2}$ has any negative eigenvalues [5]. Furthermore, the critical value of $\alpha$ for which $\alpha A_{1}+(1-$ $\alpha) A_{2}$ has the largest real eigenvalue can be found easily [4].

Lemma 1: If the diagonal entries of the matrices for the systems in (1) and (2) with $N=2$ are equal to -1 , then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) The switched system $\Sigma_{s}$ is stable under arbitrary switching.
(ii) The matrix pencil $\alpha A_{1}+(1-\alpha) A_{2}$ is Hurwitz for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
(iii) A diagonal CQLF exists for subsystems $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$.

Remark 2: This type of system, whose system matrices have -1 on the diagonals, are widely encountered in problems of power control for wireless networks [6], [7].

The results of Lemma 1 can be extended as follows.
Theorem 1: If the diagonal entries of the matrices for the systems in (1) and (2) with $N>2$ are equal to -1 , then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) The switched system $\Sigma_{s}$ is stable under arbitrary switching.
(ii) All matrices in the convex hull $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} A_{i}$ are Hurwitz for $\alpha_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, N$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}=1$.
(iii) The matrix pencils $\alpha A_{i}+(1-\alpha) A_{j}$ are Hurwitz for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$, and all $i, j=1,2, \ldots, N, i \neq j$.
(iv) A diagonal CQLF exists for every pair of subsystems $\Sigma_{i}$ and $\Sigma_{j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, N, i \neq j$.
(v) A diagonal CQLF exists for the subsystems $\Sigma_{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ and it can be computed using the following algorithm.
Algorithm 1: (a) Among all pairs of the matrices $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, N, i<j$, determine (using Lemma 1) the matrix $A=\alpha A_{i}+(1-\alpha) A_{j}$ which has the largest real eigenvalue for some $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
(b) Solve for $v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]^{T}$ from $A v=\lambda v$.
(c) Compute the diagonal common Lyapunov matrix $D$ as $D=\operatorname{diag}\left[v_{2} / v_{1}, v_{1} / v_{2}\right]$.

Remark 3: In general, for $N>2$, the existence of a CQLF for every pair of subsystems is necessary but not sufficient for the existence of a CQLF for all of the subsystems [4], [8]. However, the above theorem states that this condition is also sufficient for the special class of systems under consideration.

## Appendix

## A. Proof of Proposition 1

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose that the matrix pencil $\alpha A_{1}+(1-\alpha) A_{2}$ is unstable for some $\alpha=\alpha_{c} \in[0,1]$. Then $A_{e q}=$ $\alpha_{c} A_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{c}\right) A_{2}$ is unstable which means that alternating switching between systems $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ utilizing $\Sigma_{1}$ for $\alpha_{c} T$ units of time and $\Sigma_{2}$ for $\left(1-\alpha_{c}\right) T$ units of time, with sufficiently small time interval $T$ leads to an unstable switched system.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Assume that the matrix pencil $\alpha A_{1}+(1-\alpha) A_{2}$ is Hurwitz for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$. It can be shown that $\alpha A_{1}+$ $(1-\alpha) A_{2}^{-1}$ is also Hurwitz for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$. Hence, by the result in [8], a CQLF exists for $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Trivial.

## B. Proof of Lemma 1

The assertions (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follow from Proposition 1. In order to prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), suppose that the matrix pencil achieves its largest real eigenvalue $\lambda<0$ for $\alpha=\alpha_{c} \in[0,1]$ and $A=\alpha_{c} A_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{c}\right) A_{2}$. Let $A v=\lambda v$ and $A^{T} w=\lambda w$. Since $-A$ is an $M$-matrix, it can be shown that $v, w \succ 0$ and $w=\left[v_{2}, v_{1}\right]^{T}$ where $v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]^{T}[1]$. Define a diagonal matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left[w_{1} / v_{1}, w_{2} / v_{2}\right]$. From $A^{T} w=\lambda w \prec 0$ and $A v=\lambda v \prec 0$, it follows that $\left(A^{T} D+D A\right) v \prec 0$. Hence, $-\left(A^{T} D+D A\right)$ is an $M-$ matrix [1] and since it is also symmetric, $\left(A^{T} D+D A\right)<0$, and $D$ is a Lyapunov solution for $A$. We now proceed to show that it is also a Lyapunov solution for $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$. We consider two cases: (i) $\alpha_{c} \in\{0,1\}$ and (ii) $\alpha_{c} \in(0,1)$.

Case (i): Without loss of generality, assume $\alpha_{c}=1$. Denote the matrices as $A_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & a_{i} \\ b_{i} & -1\end{array}\right], i=1,2$. The largest eigenvalue of $A_{i}$ is $\lambda_{i}=-1+\sqrt{a_{i} b_{i}}$. As $\alpha_{c}=1$, $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$, hence $a_{1} b_{1} \geq a_{2} b_{2}$. This may happen when (a) $A_{1} \succeq A_{2}$ (i.e. $a_{1} \geq a_{2}, b_{1} \geq b_{2}$ ), (b) Otherwise, i.e. when either $\left(a_{1}<a_{2}, b_{1}>b_{2}\right)$ or $\left(a_{1}>a_{2}, b_{1}<b_{2}\right)$.

The first subcase (a), ( $A_{1} \succeq A_{2}$ ) is trivial; as any diagonal Lyapunov matrix for $A_{1}$ would be a Lyapunov matrix for $A_{2}$. Consider the 2 nd subcase (b). Here, $\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)<$ 0 . The largest eigenvalue of the matrix pencil, when given as a function of $\alpha$, achieves its maximum for $\alpha_{\max }=p / q$ where $p=a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}-2 a_{2} b_{2}$ and $q=-2\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}-\right.$ $\left.b_{2}\right)$. As $\alpha \in[0,1]$, so $\alpha_{c}=1$ when $\alpha_{\max } \geq 1$. Hence, $\alpha_{c}=1$, if $p \geq q>0$, i.e., we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1} \leq 2 a_{1} b_{1} \text { and } 0 \leq a_{2} b_{2} \leq a_{1} b_{1}<1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvectors of $A_{1}$ and $A_{1}^{T}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$ can be computed as $v=\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{1}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{1}}}\right]^{T}$ and $w=\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{1}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{1}}}\right]^{T}$. Define $D=\operatorname{diag}\left[w_{1} / v_{1}, w_{2} / v_{2}\right]$. As before, D is a Lyapunov matrix for $A_{1}$. Using (3), $\left(A_{2}^{T} D+D A_{2}\right) v \prec 0$ and hence, D is a Lyapunov matrix for $A_{2}$ as well.

Case (ii): $\alpha_{c} \in(0,1)$. Let $A=\alpha_{c} A_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{c}\right) A_{2}$, and consider the matrix pencil $B=\beta A+(1-\beta) A_{1}, \beta \in[0,1]$ which achieves its largest real eigenvalue for $\beta=1$. Hence, this case reduces to case (i) discussed above, and a diagonal common Lyapunov matrix, $D$, can be calculated for $A$ and $A_{1}$ using the eigenvectors of $A$. Similar arguments hold for the matrices, $A$ and $A_{2}$. Thus, $D$ is a Lyapunov matrix for both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$.

## C. Proof of Theorem 1

The assertions (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) are straightforward. The claim (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from the equivalence of the items (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 1. In order to prove (iv) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{v})$, we proceed as follows. Let every pair of subsystems $\Sigma_{i}$ and $\Sigma_{j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, N, i \neq j$, have a diagonal CQLF. The subsystem $\Sigma_{i}$ has a set of normalized diagonal Lyapunov matrices $D_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, d_{i}\right]$, where $d_{i}$ can be seen to lie in a convex set, $d_{i} \in\left(d_{i}^{-}, d_{i}^{+}\right), d_{i}^{-} \geq 0$, $d_{i}^{+}>0$ [8], [4]. Similarly for $\Sigma_{j}$, we have $D_{j}=\operatorname{diag}\left[1, d_{j}\right]$, $d_{j} \in\left(d_{j}^{-}, d_{j}^{+}\right), d_{j}^{-} \geq 0, d_{j}^{+}>0$. As $\Sigma_{i}$ and $\Sigma_{j}$ have a diagonal CQLF, the set $\left(d_{i}^{-}, d_{i}^{+}\right) \cap\left(d_{j}^{-}, d_{j}^{+}\right)$is non-empty. Since this is true for every pair of subsystems, we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^{N}\left(d_{i}^{-}, d_{i}^{+}\right) \neq \emptyset$ which establishes that there exists a diagonal CQLF, $x^{T} D x$, for all of the subsystems. Note that the set of common Lyapunov functions can be computed by intersecting the intervals $\left(d_{i}^{-}, d_{i}^{+}\right)$whose limits can be determined by solving a second order algebraic equation for each matrix $A_{i}$. An alternative solution is Algorithm 1 which follows from the proof of Lemma 1. (QED) $\square$
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