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#### Abstract

In this paper, stochastic stability for discrete-time singular systems with Markov jump parameters is addressed. We present a set of coupled generalised Lyapunov equations (CGLEs) that serves as a necessary and sufficient condition for stochastic stability. A method for solving the obtained CGLEs is also presented, based on iterations of usual descriptor Lyapunov equations. An illustrative example is included.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Very recently, singular systems with Markovian jumping parameters have received attention in the literature [15], [19], [20]. The interest in these systems is motivated by the fact that they are sufficiently specialised to yield strong results and, simultaneously, provide useful models for applications as it comprises both the singular (also called descriptor) systems and the systems with Markovian jumping parameters. Singular systems applications include aircraft modeling [18], chemical processes [9], circuit systems [13], [14], economic systems [11], large-scale interconnected systems [10], [17], mechanical engineering systems [6], power systems [16], and robotics [12]. Markov jump systems are becoming more and more popular due to their capability to describe dynamic behavior of systems with abrupt changes in their structures. For applications modeled by Markov jump systems, see [1], [4] and the references therein.

In this paper, a Lyapunov-type analysis of discretetime singular linear systems with Markov jump parameters (SLSMJP) is developed in order to check stochastic stability. The set of coupled generalised Lyapunov equations (CGLEs) developed in this paper, and its respective solution, extend the results presented in [7] and [8] for conventional singular systems, and the results presented in [2] for conventional linear systems with Markov jump parameters (LSMJP). For computation solution of the proposed CGLE, a recursive algorithm is proposed. The algorithm has the nice property that, if a sequence generated by the recursion converges, then it converges to a solution of the CGLE, whenever

[^0]it exists, disregarding stability or any other condition. For the interested reader in tests methods for solving coupled algebraic Riccati equations that are available in the literature for Markov jump linear systems, we can refer [3] and [5].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the notation. The problem statement and previous concepts are presented in Section III. The coupled generalized Lyapunov equation is addressed in Section IV. An algorithm for solving the CGLE is presented and studied in Section V. In Section VI, a numerical example is presented.

## II. NOTATION

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the Euclidean linear space formed by all $n$ vectors. Let $\mathcal{R}^{r, n}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{R}^{r}$ ) represented the normed linear space formed by all $r \times n$ real matrices (respectively, $r \times r)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{r 0}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r+}\right)$ the closed convex cone $\left\{U \in R^{r}\right.$ : $\left.U=U^{\prime} \geq 0\right\}$, (the open cone $\left\{U \in R^{r}: U=U^{\prime}>0\right\}$ ), where $U^{\prime}$ denotes the transpose of $U ; U \geq V(U>V)$ signifies that $U-V \in \mathcal{R}^{r 0}\left(U-V \in \mathcal{R}^{r+}\right)$. For $U \in \mathcal{R}^{n 0}$, $\sigma(U)$ stands for the maximal eigenvalue of $U$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{r, n}$ denote the linear space formed by a number $N$ of matrices such that $\mathcal{M}^{r, n}=\left\{U=\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{N}\right): U_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{r, n}, i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, N\}$; also, $\mathcal{M}^{r} \equiv \mathcal{M}^{r, r}$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^{r 0}\left(\mathcal{M}^{r+}\right)$ the set $\mathcal{M}^{r}$ when it is made up of $U_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{r 0}\left(U_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{r+}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, N$. For $U \in \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$, we define $\|U\|=$ $\max _{0 \leq i \leq N} \sigma\left(U_{i}\right)$, and we denote as $U_{i}(j, l)$ the $j, l$-element of matrix $U_{i}$.

We define the operators $\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{M}^{n 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{n 0}, \overline{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{M}^{n 0} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ and $\tilde{L}: \mathcal{M}^{n 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{i}(U) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} U_{j}  \tag{1}\\
\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{i}(U) & =\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} p_{i j} U_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
Remark 1: The operator $\mathcal{L}$ is monotonically increasing in the positive semidefinite sense, i.e., for matrices $U, V \in$ $\mathcal{M}^{n 0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(V) \leq \mathcal{L}(U) \quad \text { whenever } \quad V \leq U \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND PREVIOUS CONCEPTS

We consider the discrete-time SLSMJP, described by

$$
\Phi:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
E_{\theta(k+1)} x(k+1)=A_{\theta(k)} x(k), k=0,1, \ldots  \tag{3}\\
x(0)=x_{0}, \quad \theta(0)=\theta_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the state variable, $\theta$ is the state of an underlying discrete-time homogeneous Markov chain $\Theta=$ $\{\theta(k) ; k \geq 0\}$ having $\mathcal{N}=\{1, \ldots, N\}$ as state space and $\mathbb{P}=\left[p_{i j}\right], i, j=1, \ldots, N$ as the transition matrix. The system is defined in a fundamental probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Matrices $A_{i}, E_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, N$, belong to the collections of $N$ real constant matrix: $A=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}\right)$ and $E=\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{N}\right)$ may be singular, with $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{i}\right)=$ $r_{E_{i}} \leq n$.

Definition 1: A matrix $V$ is stable if and only if all its eigenvalues have magnitude less than 1.

The following notion is standard for stochastic systems, and have been considered in the literature of singular systems as well, see e.g. [19].

Definition 2: The discrete SLSMJP in (3) is said to be stochastically stable (SS) if there exist a scalar $M\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)>$ 0 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{N}\left\|x\left(k, x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \mid x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right\} \leq M\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x\left(k, x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$ denotes the solution to the System $\Phi$ at time $k$ under the initial conditions $x_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}$.

## IV. Coupled Generalized Lyapunov equation

Let $x=\{x(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution to the System $\Phi$. Consider the following Lyapunov function candidate

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x(k), \theta(k)):=x^{\prime}(k) E_{\theta(k)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(k)} E_{\theta(k)} x(k) \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i} \geq 0$ for $\theta(k)=i, i=1, \ldots, N$. Then, for a set of matrices $X_{i}=X_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0, X_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{n}, R_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{(n-r), n}$, and $W \in \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ we define the following CGLEs as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i} \\
& \quad+R_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}+W_{i}=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$, where $E_{i}, A_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{n}$, and $\bar{E}_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{n,(n-r)}$ is a full column rank matrix such that $E_{i}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{i}=0$ and $r=$ $\operatorname{rank}\left(E_{i}\right)$. With these assumptions, we are in position to state the following theorem

Theorem 1: The System $\Phi$ is stochastically stable if and only if for $W_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{n 0}$ there exist solutions $E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i} \geq 0$ and $R_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, to the CGLEs in (6).

Proof: (Necessity). Consider the sequence $q_{T}(k, x, i)$, $k \geq 0$, defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{T}(k, x, i):=\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{t=k}^{k+T} x^{\prime}(t) W_{\theta(t)} x(t) \mid x(k)=x,\right. \\
\theta(k)=i\} . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can show that there exist $X_{i}(T), i=1, \ldots, N, T \geq 0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(k) E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(T) E_{i} x(k)=q_{T}(k, x, i) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, N$, and $k \geq 0$. Since $\Phi$ is stochastically stable, we can evaluate

$$
\begin{gather*}
q_{T}(k, x, i)=\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{t=k}^{k+T} x^{\prime}(t) W_{\theta(t)} x(t) \mid x(k)=x\right. \\
\theta(k)=i\}  \tag{9}\\
\leq\|W\| \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{t=k}^{k+T} x^{\prime}(t) W_{\theta(t)} x(t) \mid x(k)=x\right. \\
\theta(k)=i\}<\infty
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (8) and (9) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} x^{\prime} E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(T) E_{i} x \leq \infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x$ and $i=1, \ldots, N$, hence

$$
X_{i}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} X_{i}(T) \in \mathcal{R}^{n}
$$

¿From (7) and (9) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{T}(0, x(0), \theta(0))=x^{\prime}(0) E_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(0)}(T) E_{\theta(0)} x(0) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{t=0}^{T} x^{\prime}(t) W_{\theta(t)} x(t) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{T-1}(1, & x(1), \theta(1)) \\
= & x^{\prime}(1) E_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(1)}(T-1) E_{\theta(1)} x(1) \\
= & x^{\prime}(1) E_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(1)}(T-1) E_{\theta(1)} x(1) \\
& +x^{\prime}(1) E_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(1)} R_{\theta(0)} x(0)  \tag{12}\\
& +x^{\prime}(0) R_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} E_{\theta(1)} x(1) \\
= & \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{t=1}^{T} x^{\prime}(t) W_{\theta(t)} x(t) \mid x(1), \theta(1)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

By subtracting (12) from (11), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}(1) E_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(1)}(T-1) E_{\theta(1)} x(1) \\
& \quad+x^{\prime}(1) E_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(1)} R_{\theta(0)} x(0) \\
& \quad+x^{\prime}(0) R_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} E_{\theta(1)} x(1)  \tag{13}\\
& -x^{\prime}(0) E_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(0)}(T) E_{\theta(1)} x(1) \\
& \quad=-\mathcal{E}\left\{x^{\prime}(0) W_{\theta(0)} x(0) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and taking the expectation in (13) yelds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}\left\{x ^ { \prime } ( 0 ) \left[A_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(1)}(T-1) A_{\theta(0)}\right.\right. \\
& \\
& \quad+A_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(1)} R_{\theta(0)}+R_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(1)}^{\prime} A_{\theta(0)} \\
& \\
& \left.\left.\quad-E_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(0)}(T) E_{\theta(0)}\right] x(0) \mid x(0), \theta(0)=i\right\} \\
& = \\
& -x^{\prime}(0) W_{i} x(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(0) & {\left[A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} X_{j}(T-1)\right) A_{i}\right.} \\
& +A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i}+R_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}  \tag{14}\\
& \left.-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(T) E_{i}\right] x(0)=-x^{\prime}(0) W_{i} x(0)
\end{align*}
$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathcal{N}$, which

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} X_{j}(T-1)\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i} \\
& \quad+R_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(T) E_{i}+W_{i}=0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we take the limit with $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (15), leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i}  \tag{16}\\
& \quad+R_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}+W_{i}=0
\end{align*}
$$

when $W_{i}>0$ for any $i=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i}  \tag{17}\\
& \quad+R_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}<0
\end{align*}
$$

(Sufficiency). For $x_{0}=0$, we can consider $x(k)=0, k=$ $0,1, \ldots$ and so, (4) holds trivially. Therefore, we consider $x_{0} \neq 0$ in what follows. We can rewrite (6) equivalently as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i} \\
& \quad+R_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}+W_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set stochastic Lyapunov function (5), we can evaluate $W_{i}=W_{i}^{\prime}>0$ conveniently. For

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}\{ & \{V(x(k+1), \theta(k+1)) \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\} \\
= & \mathcal{E}\left\{x^{\prime}(k+1) E_{\theta(k+1)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(k+1)} E_{\theta(k+1)} x(k+1)\right. \\
& +x^{\prime}(k+1) E_{\theta(k+1)}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(k+1)} R_{i} x \\
& +x^{\prime} R_{i}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(k+1)}^{\prime} E_{\theta(k+1)} x(k+1)  \tag{19}\\
& \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\} \\
= & \mathcal{E}\left\{x^{\prime} A_{i}^{\prime} X_{\theta(k+1)} A_{i} x+x^{\prime} A_{i}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(k+1)} R_{i} x\right. \\
& \left.+x^{\prime} R_{i}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{\theta(k+1)}^{\prime} A_{i} x \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\right\} \\
\mathcal{E}\{ & =(x(k+1), \theta(k+1)) \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\} \\
= & x^{\prime} A_{i}^{\prime} \mathcal{E}\left\{X_{\theta(k+1)} \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\right\} A_{i} x \\
& +x^{\prime} A_{i}^{\prime} \mathcal{E}\left\{\bar{E}_{\theta(k+1)} \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\right\} R_{i} x \\
& +x^{\prime} R_{i}^{\prime} \mathcal{E}\left\{\bar{E}_{\theta(k+1)}^{\prime} \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\right\} A_{i} x \\
= & x^{\prime}\left[A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}\right.  \tag{20}\\
& \left.\quad+A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i}+R_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}\right] x,
\end{align*}
$$

consider the function $\Delta V: \mathcal{R}^{n} \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{+}$defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta V(x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i) \\
& =\mathcal{E}\{V(x(k+1), \theta(k+1)) \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\} \\
& \quad-V(x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i)
\end{aligned}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta V(x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i) \\
& =\mathcal{E}\{V(x(k+1), \theta(k+1)) \mid x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i\} \\
& \quad-V(x(k)=x, \theta(k)=i) \\
& =x^{\prime}\left[A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i}\right.  \tag{21}\\
& \left.\quad+R_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}\right] x \\
& =
\end{align*}
$$

We now split the proof in two parts. First, assume $x$ and $i$ are such that $x^{\prime} E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i} x>0$, and consequently $V(\cdot) \neq 0$. Defining the scalar

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha:=1-\min _{i=1, \ldots, N}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{\min }\left(W_{i}\right)}{\lambda_{\max }\left(E_{\theta(k)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(k)} E_{\theta(k)}\right)}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathcal{E}\{V(\theta(k+1), x(k+1)) \mid x(k), \theta(k)\}-V(x(k), \theta(k))}{V(\theta(k), x(k))} \\
& \quad=-\frac{x^{\prime}(k) W_{i} x(k)}{x^{\prime}(k) E_{\theta(k)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(k)} E_{\theta(k)} x(k)} \\
& \quad \leq \alpha-1 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, when $W_{i}$ and $X_{i}$ are definite positive matrices, then $0<\alpha<1$, from (23) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{E}\{V(x(k+1), \theta(k+1)) \mid x(k), \theta(k)\}}{V(x(k), \theta(k))} \leq \alpha \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (24) we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
0<\mathcal{E} & \{V(x(k+1), \theta(k+1)) \mid x(k), \theta(k)\}  \tag{25}\\
& \leq \alpha V(x(k), \theta(k))
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1$. Now, for $x E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i} x=0$, we have that $V(\cdot)=0$ and (25) holds. Employing basic properties of the operator $\mathcal{E}\{\cdot\}$, from (25) we obtain with $k=0$

$$
\mathcal{E}\{V(x(1), \theta(1)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\} \leq \alpha V(x(0), \theta(0))
$$

and with $k=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}\{ & \{V(x(2), \theta(2)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\} \\
& =\mathcal{E}\{V(x(2), \theta(2)) \mid x(0), x(1), \theta(0), \theta(1)\} \\
& \leq \alpha \mathcal{E}\{V(x(1), \theta(1) \mid x(0), \theta(0))\}
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\{V(x(2), \theta(2)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\} \leq \alpha^{2} V(x(0), \theta(0)) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as above, for a general $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}\{V(x(k), \theta(k)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\} \leq \alpha^{k} V(x(0), \theta(0)) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{T} V(x(k), \theta(k)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\} \\
& \quad= \mathcal{E}\{V(x(0), \theta(0))+\ldots \\
&+V(x(T), \theta(T)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\} \\
&= \mathcal{E}\{V(x(0), \theta(0)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\}+\ldots  \tag{28}\\
& \quad+\mathcal{E}\{V(x(T), \theta(T)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\} \\
& \leq V(x(0), \theta(0))+\alpha^{1} V(x(0), \theta(0))+\ldots \\
&+\alpha^{T} V(x(0), \theta(0)) \\
&=\left(1+\alpha^{1}+\alpha^{2}+\ldots+\alpha^{T}\right) V(x(0), \theta(0))
\end{align*}
$$

and we evaluate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{T} V(x(k), \theta(k)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(1-\alpha^{T}\right)}{1-\alpha} V(x(0), \theta(0)) \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) V(x(0), \theta(0)) \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right) x^{\prime}(0) E_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(0)} E_{\theta(0)} x(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember (7), (8), and that by hypotheses $W_{i}=W_{i}^{\prime}>$ $0, i=1, \ldots, N$. Then, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(k) E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(T) & E_{i} x \\
& =\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{t=k}^{k+T} x^{\prime}(t) W_{\theta(t)} x(t) \mid x, i\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, we can evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{T} x^{\prime}(k) x(k) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\} \\
& \leq \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{T} x^{\prime}(k) W_{\theta(k)} x(k) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\} \\
& \leq \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{T} x^{\prime}(k) E_{\theta(k)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(k)} E_{\theta(k)} x(k) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\} \\
& =\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{T} V(x(k), \theta(k)) \mid x(0), \theta(0)\right\} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha} x^{\prime}(0) E_{\theta(0)}^{\prime} X_{\theta(0)} E_{\theta(0)} x(0)\right) \\
& :=M(x(0), \theta(0)) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2: It is a straightforward task to check that, when $N=1$, the CGLE given in (6) reduces to the GLE of standard singular linear systems, see for instance [8]. Note that, in this situation, $\mathbb{P}=1$, and we can rewrite (6) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{\prime} X_{1} A_{1}+ A_{1}^{\prime} \\
& \quad \bar{E}_{1} R_{1}+R_{1}^{\prime} \bar{E}_{1}^{\prime} A_{1} \\
&-E_{1}^{\prime} X_{1} E_{1}+W_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3: The following set of coupled generalized Lyapunov inequality (CGLI) has been considered in [19],

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i} & \geq 0 \\
A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} X_{j}\right) & A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}
\end{aligned}<0
$$

From the proposed CGLE in this paper, we can further associate for stochastic stability characterization, an alternative CGLI described as following

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} X_{j}\right) A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right) R_{i}  \tag{31}\\
& \quad+R_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}<0
\end{align*}
$$

## V. An algorithm for solving the CGLE

In this section we consider a method for solving the following CGLE. The CGLE consists of a set of CGLs with unknowns $X_{i} \in \mathcal{R}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, N$ and associated matrices $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{N}$ and similarly $E, \bar{E}, R$ and $W$, as in (6).

Note that modifying the CGLEs, adding and subtracting $\kappa_{i} p_{i i} A_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} A_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{i} p_{i i} A_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i} E_{i}+W_{i} \\
& +A_{i}^{\prime}\left[\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right) X_{i} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} p_{i j} X_{j}\right] A_{i} \\
& +A_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right] R_{i}+R_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right]^{\prime} A_{i}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The method for solving the CGLE generates a sequence $X(k) \in \mathcal{M}^{n 0}, k \geq 0$, as follows:
Step 1. Set $X(0) \in \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ and $W \in \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ as $X_{i}(0)=0$ and $W_{i}=I, i \geq 1$. For each $i \in \mathcal{N}$, set $\kappa_{i}$ as the largest scalar such that the matrix $\left(\kappa_{i} p_{i i}\right)^{1 / 2} A_{i}$ is stable, see Definition 1 ; if $\kappa_{i}>1$ then set $\kappa_{i}=1$. Let $k=1$.
Step 2. For each $i \in \mathcal{N}$, solve ${ }^{1}$ the following equality in the variable $R_{i}(k)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =R_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}^{\prime}\right] A_{i}+A_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right] R_{i} \\
& +\kappa_{i} p_{i i} A_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(k-1) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(k-1) E_{i}+ \\
& +A_{i}^{\prime} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i}(X(k-1)) A_{i}+W_{i} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

We define the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{M}^{n 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ as follows: where

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i}(X)=\left(1-\kappa_{i}\right) p_{i i} X_{i}+\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{i}(X)
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
Step 3. For each $i \in \mathcal{N}$, solve the following generalized algebraic Lyapunov equation in the variable $X(k)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{i} p_{i i} A_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(k) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}(k) E_{i}+\tilde{Q}_{i}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}_{i} & =A_{i}^{\prime} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i}(X(k-1)) A_{i}+W_{i} \\
& +A_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right] R_{i}+R_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right]^{\prime} A_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4. If $X(k)$ satisfies the stopping criterion (e.g. $\|X(k)-X(k-1)\| \leq \epsilon$ for some pre-specified $\epsilon$ ), then stop, else set $k=k+1$ and go to Step 2.
Theorem 2: If $X(k)$ converges to some $X_{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}^{n 0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ then $X_{\infty}$ is a solution to the CGLE.

Proof: Assuming that $X(k)$ converges to some $X_{\infty}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, employing (33) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{i} p_{i i} A_{i}^{\prime} X_{\infty}(k) A_{i}-E_{i}^{\prime} X_{\infty}(k) E_{i}+\tilde{Q}_{i}=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}_{i} & =A_{i}^{\prime} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{i}\left(X_{\infty}\right) A_{i}+W_{i} \\
& +A_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right] R_{i}+R_{i}^{\prime}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{i j} \bar{E}_{j}\right]^{\prime} A_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

that satisfies the CGLE in (6).

## VI. Numerical Example

Example 1: Consider the System $\Phi$ for the following numerical example, with $N=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], E_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0.1
\end{array}\right], A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& W_{1}=W_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \bar{E}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \bar{E}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathbb{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0.9 & 0.1\end{array}\right]$, in $k=10$ steps $R$ converges to $R_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0.6235 & -5.6111\end{array}\right]$ and $R_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}-90 & 0 & -10\end{array}\right]$, and $X$ converges to semidefinite matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{1}= & {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1.1942 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.0225 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.1111
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \text { and } X_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
183.4164 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0.7978 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then for this transition matrix of Markov chain, the system is stochastically stable.

$$
\text { For } \begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}= & {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.1 & 0.9 \\
0.1 & 0.9
\end{array}\right], \text { in } k=13 \text { steps and } R \text { converges to } } \\
R_{1}= & {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 454.5 & -50.5
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \text { and } \quad R_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-0.1235 & 0 & -1.1111
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and $X$ converges to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1.1942 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 82.8200 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 10
\end{array}\right] \\
& \text { and } X_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
21.4411 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -8.0911 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then, for this second transition matrix of Markov chain, the system is not stochastically stable.

## VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new characterization of stochastic stability for discrete-time SLSMJP was proposed. The set of coupled generalized Lyapunov equations (CGLEs), developed in this paper, reduces to the usual generalized Lyapunov equation for descriptor systems when the Markov chain is degenerated to the case $N=1$ [8], and to a standard coupled Lyapunov equation when there is no singularity, i.e., $E$ is a positive definite matrix [4]. We further derived a recursive algorithm for solving the CGLEs, and so, the issue of practical implementation of the proposed stability test was also dealt with.
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