# Fault-Tolerant Control of a Class of Switched Time-Delay Systems with Average Dwelling Time Method

Ying Jin<sup>1</sup>, Jun Fu<sup>2</sup>, Youmin Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Yuanwei Jing<sup>4</sup>, Xiaolong Qian<sup>4</sup>, and Tao Ren<sup>4</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 0G4, Canada (email: jymontreal@gmail.com)
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02139 USA (email: junfu@mit.edu)
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Concordia University, Montreal, Quebec H3G 1M8, Canada (email: ymzhang@encs.concordia.ca)
 <sup>4</sup>School of Information Science & Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110189, China, (email: ywjjing@mail.neu.edu.cn)

Abstract: This paper considers fault-tolerant control of a class of uncertain switched linear time-delay systems and its application to water pollution control. Due to the nature of average dwell-time techniques and the representation of actuator faults, this paper has the following features compared with the existing methods in the literature: 1) the proposed method is independent from switching polices provided that switching is on-the-average slow enough; 2) the proposed controller exponentially stabilizes this class of time-delay systems with actuator faults and its nominal systems (i.e., without actuator faults) without necessarily changing any structures and/or parameters of the proposed controllers; 3) the proposed method treats all actuators in a unified way without necessarily classifying all actuators into faulty actuators and healthy ones. Simulation results are provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Keywords: Switched systems; fault-tolerant control; time-delay; average dwell-time method.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Time delay can be seen in various practical applications such as chemical processes, long-distance transmission networks and automotive control. When time delay is in presence of a dynamic system, it may deteriorate transient performance or even leading to instability. When time delay is involved in switched systems, i.e., switched time-delay systems, much attention has been paid on control of switched systems [Wang, et al., 2009; Wang, et al., 2007; Du, et al., 2011; Xiang, et al., 2010] and the references therein. Due to the inherent nature of switched systems [Zhang & Gao, 2010; Zhao & Hill, 2008; Liberzon 2003; Zhao & Dimirovsk, 2004; Sun & Ge, 2004; Xie & Wang, 2003; Hu, et al., 1999; Yurtseven, et al., 2012; El-Farra, et al., 2005] and the complicated features that time delay may bring in, studies on switched time-delay systems are still one of important research topics in control areas.

On the other hand, maintenances or repairs in the highly automated industrial systems cannot be always achieved immediately, for preserving safety and reliability of the systems, the possibility of occurrence and presence of uncertain faults must be taken into account during the system analysis and control design stages to avoid life-threatening prices and heavy economic costs caused by faults [Zhang & Jiang, 2003; Xiao, et al., 2012; Zhang & Jiang, 2008; Han & Yu, 1998; Panagi & Polycarpou, 2011], which makes faulttolerant control attract more and more attention [Jin, et al., 2007; Veillette, 1995; Wang, et al., 1999; Liang, et al., 2000].

Reference [Jin, et al., 2007] considered decentralized faulttolerant control for a class of interconnected nonlinear systems consisting of finite subsystems to achieve desired tracking objectives and guarantee stability of the closed-loop systems. However, Jin, et al. (2007) only considered bounded fault functions which satisfy matching conditions and bounded interconnected uncertain structures, but the authors did not explicitly consider faults of the actuators which transmit control signal into the plant. References [Jin, et al., 2007; Veillette, 1995; Wang, et al., 1999; Liang, et al., 2000] designed fault-tolerant controllers for the nonlinear systems with actuator faults to guarantee robust reliable stability of the systems. Their common feature is that actuators are decomposed into two parts, one of which is susceptible to faults, the other part is robust to faults, to compensate for actuator faults effectively. But in order to implement the controller designs, the two-part decomposition has to be known in advance. It is in general difficult to obtain in practice due to uncertain and random feature of faults.

However, there are few results on fault-tolerant control of switched systems and that of switched time-delay systems [Wang, et al., 2009; Wang, et al., 2007; Du, et al., 2011; Jin, et al., 2007; Veillette, 1995; Wang, et al., 2009; Du & Mhaskar, 2010]. References [Wang, et al., 2007; Jin, et al., 2007; Wang, et al., 2009] gave sufficient conditions on robust fault-tolerant control of a class of nonlinear switched systems by decomposing actuators into two parts, in the same way as [Veillette, 1995], i.e., one part is robust to actuator faults, and the other is susceptible to actuator faults. Thus, the method in [Wang, et al., 2007; Jin, et al., 2007; Wang, et al., 2009] has

the above-mentioned disadvantages on fault modeling and characterization. In addition, structural uncertainties of input matrices were not considered for this class of nonlinear switched systems in [Wang, et al., 2007; Jin, et al., 2007; Wang, et al., 2009]. In [Wang, et al., 2009], by combining safe-parking method and reconfiguration-based approach the authors proposed two switching strategies to realize faulttolerant controls of a class of switched nonlinear systems against actuator faults. But when actuator faults occur, the two methods both need to determine reparation time for faulty actuators, which is not easy to acquire in many realworld scenarios. Reference [Du & Mhaskar, 2010] considered an observer-based fault-tolerant control of a class of switched nonlinear system with external disturbances. [Du, et al., 2011] developed an active fault-tolerant control for switched time-delay systems, but it needs to design an observer to detect faults. [Wang, et al., 2009] dealt with the problem of robust fault detection for discrete-time switched time-delay systems without designing controllers.

In last decade, average dwell-time switching techniques have been becoming one of popular methods to stabilize switched systems, due that it is more general and flexible than dwelltime techniques [Hespanha & Morse, 1999; Allerhand & Shaked, 2011; Zhang & Shi, 2009; Zhang, et al., 2011; Persis, et al., 2003]. It means that the number of switches in the finite interval is bounded and the average time between successive switchings is greater than or equal to a constant [Zhang & Gao, 2010; Hespanha & Morse, 1999]. Thus, there are several important results on applications of average dwell-time techniques [Wang, et al., 2009; Wang, et al., 2007; Du, et al., 2011; Xiang, et al., 2010; Zhang & Gao, 2010; Zhang & Shi, 2009; Zhang, et al., 2011; Du, et al., 2011; Wang & Shao, 2010; Yang, et al., 2009]. However, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there are few results on applying average dwell-time techniques to fault-tolerant control of switched systems [Wang, et al., 2007; Du, et al., 2011; Xiang, et al., 2010; Wang, et al., 2009, Wang & Shao, 2010; Yang, et al., 2009; Ma & Yang, 2011]. [Wang, et al., 2007, Wang, et al., 2009] need decomposing actuators into faulty actuators and healthy ones. The common feature in [Du, et al., 2011; Xiang, et al., 2010, Wang & Shao, 2010] is that they did not consider structural uncertainties of input matrices. Reference [Yang, et al., 2009] applied average dwell-time method to fault-tolerant control of the switched nonlinear system where the nonlinear item is connected to the system in a parallel way, which can be directly compensated for by control signals. Ma & Yang (2011) proposed an adaptive logic-based switching fault-tolerant control method for a class of nonlinear uncertain systems against actuator faults, and also uses a similar way as [Yang, et al., 2009] to deal with the unmodeled dynamics. However, to our best knowledge, by using average dwell-time techniques the faulttolerant control of the class of switched linear time-delay systems (1) without necessarily decomposing actuators into faulty actuators and healthy ones has not been investigated yet. This motivates us to study this problem.

This paper deals with the problem of robust fault-tolerant control of a class of switched time-delay linear systems with structural uncertainties existing in both system matrices and input matrices, and proposes a fault-tolerant control method for this class of switched systems by using average dwelltime techniques. The main features and contributions of this paper are highlighted as follows:

- The proposed control design works on both the switched time-delay systems with actuator faults and its nominal systems (i.e., without actuator faults) without necessarily changing any structures and/or parameters of the proposed controllers;
- (2) The proposed method, unlike [Wang, et al., 2007; Jin, et al., 2007; Veillette, 1995; Wang, et al., 1999; Liang, et al., 2000; Wang, et al., 2009] but in a unified way for easy and practical applications, treats all actuators without necessarily classifying all actuators into faulty actuators and reliable ones;
- (3) The proposed method is independent from switching provided that the average switching time is greater than certain dwelling time.

The layout of the paper is as follows. Section II presents the problem statement. The details about designing the controllers of the nonlinear switched systems and its stability analysis are presented in Section III. A numerical example is given in Section IV. Section V concludes the paper.

### 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider a class of uncertain switched time-delay systems

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A_i + \Delta A_i)x(t) + E_i x(t-h) + (B_i + \Delta B_i)u_i$$
  

$$x(\theta) = \phi(\theta), \theta \in [-h, 0),$$
(1)

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are system states,  $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^{q_i}$  is control input,  $i:[0,+\infty) \to M = \{1,2,\dots,m\}$  is a switching signal,  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ and  $E_i$  are known constant matrices, and  $\Delta A_i$  and  $\Delta B_i$  are matrix functions representing structural uncertainties.  $\phi(\theta)$  is a differentiable vector-valued initial function on [-h,0], and h > 0 denotes the state delay.

We now make the assumptions for system (1) as follows:

Assumption 1: Assume that  $(A_i, B_i)$  is controllable and that all the states are available for feedback.

**Assumption 2:** Assume that  $\Delta A_i$  and  $\Delta B_i$  are the structural uncertainties with bounded norms, i.e.,

$$\left\|\Delta A_i\right\| \le \delta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \left\|\Delta B_i\right\| \le \omega_i. \tag{2}$$

Then, one can design state feedback controllers as follows:

$$u_i = K_i x \tag{3}$$

where  $K_i \in \mathbb{R}^{q_i \times r}$ ,  $i \in M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  are constant matrices.

Given that whether a fault occurs on each actuator or not, a matrix  $L_s^i$  is introduced to represent fault situation of the actuators of the *i*<sup>th</sup> subsystem as follows:

$$L_s^i = diag(l_1^i, l_2^i, \cdots, l_a^i) \tag{4}$$

where if  $l_j^i = 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , actuator *j* is normal and if  $l_j^i = 0$  actuator *j* is faulty, and  $L_s^i \neq 0$ . Therefore, the closed-loop switched nonlinear systems involving uncertain structures and actuator faults are given as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) = (A_i + \Delta A_i)x(t) + E_i x(t-h) + (B_i + \Delta B_i)L_s^i K_i x(t)$$
  

$$x(\theta) = \phi(\theta), \theta \in [-h, 0),$$
(5)

The control objective is then to design feedback gain matrices  $K_i$  ( $i \in M$ ) such that switched time-delay system (5) under arbitrary switching policies are globally asymptotically stable for all uncertain matrices  $\Delta A_i, \Delta B_i$  and the actuator faults.

## **3. CONTROLLER DESIGN FOR TIME-DELAY SYSTEMS**

This section will present the main results on the robust faulttolerant control of the switched systems (1) and stability analysis of the closed-loop systems (5).

Before presenting the main theorem, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1:** For  $\forall x, y \in R^r$  and constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  and symmetric positive matrix  $\Pi$ , the inequalities as follows hold:

$$x^{T}y + y^{T}x \leq \frac{x^{T}\Pi x}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon y^{T}\Pi^{-1}y \leq \frac{x^{T}\Pi x}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \frac{y^{T}y}{\lambda_{\min}(\Pi)}$$
(6)

**Theorem 1:** Given positive constants  $h, \lambda_0, \varepsilon$ . Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 2 are satisfied and that there exist symmetric positive definite matrices  $P_i, Q_i, H_i$  and  $U_i$  such that the linear matrix inequalities (7) below hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Pi_i & P_i E_i \\ E_i^T P_i & -e^{-2\lambda_0 h} Q_i \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

where

$$\Pi_{i} = A_{i}^{T} P_{i} + P_{i} A_{i} + P_{i} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}} H_{i} + \varepsilon_{i} \omega_{i}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n} + B_{i} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}} U_{i} + \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\lambda_{\min}(U_{i})} \mathbf{I}_{q} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}} \mathbf{I}_{q} \right) B_{i}^{T} \right] P_{i} \quad (8)$$
$$+ \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\lambda_{\min}(H_{i})} \delta_{i}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{n} + 2\lambda_{0} P_{i} + Q_{i}$$

Then,

(1). if the average dwell-time satisfies

$$\tau_a \ge \tau_a^* = \frac{\ln \mu}{2(\lambda^* - \lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0), \lambda^* \in (\lambda, \lambda_0)$$
(9)

where positive constant  $\mu \ge 1$  satisfying

$$P_i \le \mu P_j, \ Q_i \le \mu Q_j, \ \forall i, j \in M$$
(10)

Then, the closed-loop systems (5) are globally exponentially stable under arbitrary switching rules with controller gain  $K_i = -B_i^T P$ , i.e.,  $u_i = K_i x = -B_i^T P x$  are fault-tolerant feedback controllers which stabilize switched systems (1) globally and exponentially.

(2). Norm estimation of states of systems (7) is measured by

$$\|x(t)\| \le \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} \|x_{t_0}\|, \tag{11}$$

where

$$a = \min_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \lambda_{\min}(P_i),$$

and

$$b = \max_{i \in M} \lambda_{\max}(P_i) + h \max_{i \in M} \lambda_{\max}(Q_i).$$

**Proof:** Define following piecewise Lyapunov candidate function for systems (1):

$$V = V_{i(t)}(x_t) = x^T P_{i(t)} x + \int_{t-h}^t e^{2\lambda_0(s-t)} x^T(s) Q_{i(t)} x(s) ds$$
(12)

where  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$  ( $i \in M$ ) are positive definite matrices and satisfying matrix inequalities (7). Then along the trajectory of systems (5), the time derivative of V(x, z) is

$$\dot{V}_{i} = x^{T}(t)(A_{i}^{T}P_{i} + P_{i}A_{i} + \Delta A_{i}^{T}P_{i} + P_{i}\Delta A_{i} - 2P_{i}B_{i}L_{s}^{t}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} - P_{i}B_{i}L_{s}^{t}\Delta B_{i}^{T}P_{i} -P_{i}\Delta B_{i}L_{s}^{t}B_{i}^{T}P_{i})x(t) + x^{T}(t-h)E_{i}^{T}P_{i}x(t) + x^{T}(t)P_{i}E_{i}x(t-h) + x^{T}(t)Qx(t) - e^{-2\lambda_{0}h}x^{T}(t-h)Qx(t-h) -2\lambda_{0}\int_{t-h}^{t}e^{2\lambda_{0}(s-t)}x^{T}(s)Qx(s)ds$$
(13)

According to the inequality (6) in Lemma 1, for the constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  and symmetric positive definite matrices  $H_i$  and  $U_i$ , also noting Assumption 2, one has

$$x^{T} \left( \Delta A_{i}^{T} P_{i} + P_{i} \Delta A_{i} \right) x$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left( \varepsilon \Delta A_{i}^{T} H_{i}^{-1} \Delta A_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i} H_{i} P_{i} \right) x$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min} (H_{i})} \Delta A_{i}^{T} \Delta A_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i} H_{i} P_{i} \right) x$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min} (H_{i})} \delta^{2} I_{n} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i} H_{i} P_{i} \right) x$$

$$x^{T} \left( -2P_{i} B_{i} I_{e}^{i} B_{i}^{T} P_{i} \right) x$$

$$(14)$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left[ \varepsilon P_{i}B_{i}\left(-L_{s}^{i}\right)U_{i}^{-1}\left(-L_{s}^{i}\right)B_{i}^{T}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}P_{i}B_{i}U_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right] x$$
  
$$\leq x^{T} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min}\left(U_{i}\right)}P_{i}B_{i}\left(-L_{s}^{i}\right)\left(-L_{s}^{i}\right)B_{i}^{T}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}P_{i}B_{i}U_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right] x$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon \left\| (-L_{s}^{i})(-L_{s}^{i}) \right\|}{\lambda_{\min} (U_{i})} P_{i}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i}B_{i}U_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right] x$$

$$= x^{T} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min} (U_{i})} P_{i}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i}B_{i}U_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right] x$$

$$x^{T} \left( -P_{i}B_{i}L_{s}^{i}\Delta B_{i}^{T}P_{i} - P_{i}\Delta B_{i}L_{s}^{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right) x$$

$$= x^{T} \left( P_{i}B_{i}(-L_{s}^{i})\Delta B_{i}^{T}P_{i} + P_{i}\Delta B_{i}(-L_{s}^{i})B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right) x$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left[ \varepsilon P_{i}\Delta B_{i}\Delta B_{i}^{T}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i}B_{i}(-L_{s}^{i})(-L_{s}^{i})B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right] x$$

$$\leq x^{T} \left[ \varepsilon \omega^{2}P_{i}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left\| (-L_{s}^{i})(-L_{s}^{i}) \right\| P_{i}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right] x$$

$$= x^{T} \left( \varepsilon \omega^{2}P_{i}P_{i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} P_{i}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{i} \right) x$$

$$(16)$$

Using (14)-(16) and (7), one has

$$\frac{d(x^{T}P_{i}x)}{dt} \leq x^{T} [A_{i}^{T}P_{i} + P_{i}A_{i} + P_{i}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}H_{i} + \varepsilon\omega^{2}L_{n} + B_{i}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}U_{i} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min}(U_{i})}I_{q} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}I_{q})B_{i}^{T})P_{i} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min}(H_{i})}\delta^{2}I_{n}]x + x^{T}(t)P_{i}E_{i}x(t-h) + x^{T}(t-h)E_{i}^{T}P_{i}x(t)$$
(17)

Thus,

$$\dot{V}_{i}(t) + 2\lambda_{0}V_{i} \\
\leq \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t-h) \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{i} & P_{i}E_{i} \\ E_{i}^{T}P_{i} & -e^{-2\lambda_{0}h}Q_{i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x(t-h) \end{pmatrix} \leq 0$$
(18)

where the second inequality relation holds from (7).

Using (10) and (12), one obtains

$$V_i(t) \le \mu V_j(t), \qquad \forall i, j \in M, i < j \tag{19}$$

From (18), one obtains

$$\dot{V_i} \le -2\lambda_0 V_i \tag{20}$$

For any given t > 0, let  $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k = t_{N_i(t_0,t)}$  denote the time instants at which switching occurs over the operating interval  $(t_0, t)$ . Thus, taking integration of both sides of (20) and utilizing (19) yield

$$V(x_{t}) = V_{i}(x_{t}) \leq e^{-2\lambda_{0}(t-t_{k})} \mu V_{i(t_{k}^{-})}(x_{t_{k}})$$

$$\leq e^{-2\lambda_{0}(t-t_{k-1})} \mu V_{i(t_{k-1}^{-})}(x_{t_{k-1}})$$

$$\leq e^{-2\lambda_{0}(t-t_{k-2})} \mu^{2} V_{i(t_{k-2}^{-})}(x_{t_{k-2}})$$

$$\leq e^{-2\lambda_{0}(t-t_{k-3})} \mu^{3} V_{i(t_{k-3}^{-})}(x_{t_{k-3}})$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq e^{-2\lambda_{0}(t-t_{0})+k \ln \mu} V_{i(t_{0})}(x_{t_{0}})$$
(21)

From (19) and (21), one has

$$V(x_{t}) \leq e^{-2\lambda^{*}(t-t_{0})+\frac{t-t_{0}}{\tau_{a}}\ln\mu} V_{i(t_{0})}(x_{t_{0}})$$

$$\leq e^{-2(\lambda^{*}-\frac{1}{2\tau_{a}}\ln\mu)(t-t_{0})} V_{i(t_{0})}(x_{t_{0}})$$

$$\leq e^{-2\lambda(t-t_{0})} V_{i(t_{0})}(x_{t_{0}})$$
(22)

(10) and (12) then lead to

$$a \|x(t)\|^{2} \le V(x_{t}) \le b \|x_{t}\|^{2}$$
(23)

From (22) and (23), one has

$$\|x(t)\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{a} V(x_{t}) \leq \frac{b}{a} e^{-2\lambda(t-t_{0})} \|x(t_{0})\|^{2}$$

Therefore, we have the conclusion (11).

**Remark:** Note that (7) is nonlinear matrix inequalities. One cannot solve it with available solver. For solving this issue, pre- and post-multiplying both sides of matrix inequalities (7) by  $\Gamma_i = P_i^{-1}$ , (7) needs to be carried out and following *LMIs* are generated

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Xi_i & E_i T_i \\ E_i T_i & -e^{-2\lambda_0 h} T_i Q_i T_i \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(24)

where

$$\begin{split} \Xi_{i} &= T_{i}A_{i}^{T} + A_{i}T_{i} + \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}}H_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}\omega_{i}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{n} + B_{i}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}}U_{i} + \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\lambda_{\min}(U_{i})}\mathbf{I}_{q} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}}\mathbf{I}_{q}\right)B_{i}^{T}\right] \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\lambda_{\min}(H_{i})}\delta_{i}^{2}T_{i}T_{i} + 2\lambda_{0}T_{i} + T_{i}Q_{i}T_{i}, \end{split}$$

Defining  $T_iQ_iT_i = Y_i$  and applying Schur Complement Lemma, (3.19) is transformed into

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{i}A_{i}^{T} + A_{i}T_{i} + Y_{i} + 2\lambda_{0}T_{i} + \Gamma_{i} & E_{i}T_{i} & T_{i} \\ * & -e^{-2\lambda_{0}h}Y_{i} & 0 \\ * & * & -\frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\lambda_{\min}(H_{i})}\delta_{i}^{2}I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

where

$$\Gamma_{i} = \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}}H_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}\omega_{i}^{2}\mathbf{I}_{n} + B_{i}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}}U_{i} + \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\lambda_{\min}(U_{i})}\mathbf{I}_{q} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{i}}\mathbf{I}_{q}\right)B_{i}^{T}\right]$$

#### **4. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE**

In this section, the proposed method will be applied to the following numerical example with model structure as given in Eq. (1) to show the effectiveness of the proposed method.

11676

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ -0.4 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \\ -0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2.5 & 0 \\ -0.4 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 \\ -0.6 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (25)$$

$$H_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.002 & 0 \\ 0.002 & 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L_{s}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.002 & 0 \\ 0.002 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L_{s}^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad E_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$x(\theta) = [-100, 120, -80]^{T}, \theta \in [-h, 0), h = 0.2, \quad \varepsilon_{1} = \varepsilon_{2} = 1.2, \\ \delta_{1} = \delta_{2} = 5, \quad \omega_{1} = \omega_{2} = 0.5, \quad \lambda_{0} = 1.25 \text{ and } \mu = 1.1$$

It is easy to verify that Assumptions 1-2 are satisfied by (25). Let  $r(\theta) = [-100 \ 120 \ -80]^T \ \theta \in [-h \ 0)$  Solving Riccati

Let  $x(\theta) = [-100, 120, -80]^T$ ,  $\theta \in [-h, 0)$ . Solving Riccati equation (9) with the parameters given above gives positive definite matrix solutions as shown below:

$$P_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0138 & -0.0372 & -0.0051 \\ -0.0372 & 0.1319 & 0.0171 \\ -0.0051 & 0.0171 & 0.0083 \end{bmatrix}, P_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0164 & -0.0367 & -0.0035 \\ -0.0367 & 0.1346 & 0.0121 \\ -0.0035 & 0.0121 & 0.0095 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Q_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0063 & -0.0192 & -0.0021 \\ -0.0192 & 0.0777 & 0.0083 \\ -0.0021 & 0.0083 & 0.0035 \end{bmatrix}, Q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0095 & -0.0167 & -0.0005 \\ -0.0167 & 0.0942 & -0.0007 \\ -0.0005 & -0.0007 & 0.0050 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, according to  $u_i = K_i x = -B_i^T P x$  (i = 1, 2) the controller can be designed. Taking  $\lambda^* = 1$  and  $\lambda = 0.97$ , one has  $\tau_a^* = \frac{\ln \mu}{2(\lambda^* - \lambda)} = 1.59$ . Take  $\tau_a^* \le \tau_a = 2$  and choose the

following switching rule:

$$i = \begin{cases} 1, & t_k = 0, 3.8, 8.8, 15 \\ 2, & t_k = 2, 6, 11, 18 \end{cases}$$

to carry out the simulation studies for system (25).

As Fig. 1 shows, the state feedback control law guarantees that systems (25) under arbitrary switching rules are still asymptotically stable when the second actuator of the first subsystem and the first actuator of the second subsystem have faults, as indicated by  $L_s^1$  and  $L_s^2$ . Fig. 1 is the time history of the states, where the stars represent switching points. Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 represent switching sequences of controller gains and switching signal, respectively. From the figures, the effectiveness of the proposed control method is verified.



Fig.1 System state responses



Fig.2 Switching sequences of gain matrices



Fig.3 Switching signal

## **5. CONCLUSIONS**

This paper studies robust fault-tolerant control of a class of uncertain switched linear time-delay systems. By designing feedback control law and using the average dwelling time techniques, a sufficient condition is given on globally asymptotical stabilization of the switched nonlinear systems against actuator faults under arbitrarily switching signals provided switching is on-the-average slow enough.

#### REFERENCES

Wang, D., Wang, W., and Shi, P. (2009). Robust fault detection for switched linear systems with state delays, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics—Part B: Cybernetics*, 39(3), 800-805.

Wang, R., Dimirovski, G.M., and Zhao, J. (2007). Switching based robust exponential stabilization of linear delay systems with faulty actuators, *Proceedings of European Control Conference*, 673-679.

Du, D.S., Jiang, B., and Shi, P. (2011). Active fault-tolerant control for switched systems with time delay, *Int. Journal of Adaptive Control & Signal Processing*, 24, 466-480.

Xiang, Z.R., Wang, R.H., and Chen, Q.W. (2010). Fault tolerant control of switched nonlinear systems with time delay under asynchronous switching, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science*, 20(3), 497-506.

Zhang, L.X. and Gao, H.J. (2010). Asynchronously switched control of switched linear systems with average dwell time, *Automatica*, 46, 953-958.

Zhao, J. and Hill, D.J. (2008). Dissipativity theory for switched systems, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 36(11), 1228-1240.

Liberzon, D. (2003). Switching in Systems and Control, Springer-Verlag, New York.

Zhao, J. and Dimirovsk, G.M. (2004). Quadratic stability of a class of switched nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 49, 574-578.

Sun, Z.D. and Ge, S.S. (2004). Switched Linear Systems-Control and Design, Springer-Verlag, New York.

Xie, G.M. and Wang, L. (2003). Controllability and stabilizability of switched linear systems, *Systems & Control Letters*, 48, 135-155.

Zhang, Y.M. and Jiang, J. (2003). Fault tolerant control system design with explicit consideration of performance degradation, *IEEE Trans. on Aerospace & Electronic Systems*, 39(3), 838-848.

Xiao, B., Hu, Q., and Zhang, Y.M. (2012). Adaptive sliding mode fault tolerant attitude tracking control for flexible spacecraft under actuator saturation, *IEEE Trans. on Control Systems Technology*, 20(6), 1605-1612.

Zhang, Y.M. and Jiang, J. (2008). Bibliographical review on reconfigurable fault-tolerant control systems, *Annual Reviews in Control*, 32(2), 229-252.

Han, Q.L. and Yu, J.S. (1998). A new feedback design method for uncertain continuous-time systems possessing integrity, *Acta Automatica Sinica*, 24, 768-775.

Panagi, P. and Polycarpou, M.M. (2011). Decentralized fault tolerant control of a class of interconnected nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 56, 178-184.

Jin, G., Wang, R., and Zhao, J. (2007). Robust fault-tolerant control for a class of switched nonlinear systems in lower triangular form, *Asian Journal of Control*, 9(1), 68-72.

Veillette, J.R. (1995). Reliable linear-quadratic state-feedback control, *Automatica*, 31, 137-143.

Wang, Z.D., Huang, B., and Unbehauen, H. (1999). Robust reliable control for a class of uncertain nonlinear state-delayed systems, *Automatica*, 35, 955–963.

Liang, Y.W., Liaw, D.C., and Lee, T.C. (2000). Reliable control of nonlinear systems, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 45,706-710.

Wang, R., Zhao, J., Dimirovski, G.M., and Liu, G.P. (2009). Output feedback control for uncertain linear systems with faulty actuators based on a switching method, *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 19, 1295-1312.

Du, M. and Mhaskar, P. (2010). Uniting safe-parking and reconfiguration-based approaches for fault-tolerant control of switched nonlinear systems, *2010 American Control Conference*, 2829-2834.

Hespanha, J.P. and Morse, A.S. (1999). Stability of switched systems with average dwell time, *Proceedings of the 38th Conference on Decision & Control*, 2655-2660.

Allerhand, L.I. and Shaked, U. (2011). Robust stability and stabilization of linear switched systems with dwell time, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 56(2), 381-386.

Zhang, L.X. and Shi, P. (2009). Stability, L2 gain and asynchronous H-infinity control of discrete-time switched systems with average dwell time, *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 54(9), 2193-2200.

Zhang, L.X., Cui, N.G., Liu, M., and Zhao, Y. (2011). Asynchronous filtering of discrete-time switched linear systems with average dwell time, *IEEE Trans. on Circuits and Systems-I*, 58(5), 1109-1118.

Du, D.S., Jiang, B., and Shi, P. (2011). Fault identification for nonlinear switched systems: average dwell time approach, *Proceedings of the*  $30^{th}$  *Chinese Control Conference*.

Wang, L.M. and Shao, C. (2010). Exponential stabilization for time-varying delay system with actuator faults: an average dwell time method, *International Journal of Systems Science*, 41(4), 435-445.

Yang, H., Jiang, B., and Cocquempot, V. (2009). A fault tolerant control framework for periodic switched non-linear systems, *International Journal of Control*, 82, 117-129.

Ma H.J. and Yang, G.H. (2011). Adaptive logic-based switching fault-tolerant controller design for nonlinear uncertain systems, *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 21, 404-428.

Persis, C.D., Santis, R.D., and Morse, A.S. (2003). Switched nonlinear systems with state-dependent dwell-time, *Systems & Control Letters*, 50, 291-302.

Hu, B., Xu, X.P., Michel, A.N., and Antsaklis, P.J. (1999). Stability analysis for a class of nonlinear switched systems, *Proceedings of the 38th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, USA, 4374-4379.

Yurtseven, E., Heemels, W.P.M.H., and Camlibel, M.K. (2012). Disturbance decoupling of switched linear systems, *Systems & Control Letters*, 61, 69-78.

El-Farra, N.H., Mhaskar, P., and Christofides, P.D. (2005). Output feedback control of switched nonlinear systems using multiple Lyapunov functions, *Systems & Control Letters*, 54(12), 1163-1182.